

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,636	12/30/2003	Ahmad Fakheri	72611-003	6883
29493	7590 12/01/2006		EXAM	INER
HUSCH & EPPENBERGER, LLC 190 CARONDELET PLAZA			LEO, LEONARD R	
190 CARON SUITE 600	DELET PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS,	MO 63105-3441		3744	
•			DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,636	FAKHERI, AHMAD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leonard R. Leo	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir	136(a). In no event, however, may a bly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		· .
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 C	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims		;
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,20,22-26 and 28-30 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,9,10,24 and 25 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,11,12,20,22,23,26 and 28-30 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2006 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are withdrawn from consider is/are rejected.  or election requirement.  er.  /are: a) accepted or b) [    drawing(s) be held in abeyaction is required if the drawing	☐ objected to by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· ·
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 26, 2006 has been entered.

The amendment filed on October 12, 2006 has been entered. Claims 13-19, 21 and 27 are cancelled, claims 1-12, 20, 22-26 and 28 are pending, and claims 4, 9-10 and 24-25 remain withdrawn from further consideration. Applicant is reminded to include the proper claim identifier with respect to the withdrawn claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 11-12 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson et al. Regarding claim 2, Figure 2 of Nelson et al discloses the tube 11 has a circular cross section. Regarding claims 3 and 5, Figure 1 of Nelson et al discloses fin segments 21, 22 spaced apart at regular intervals. Regarding claims 11-12, 22 and 26, Figure 2 of Nelson et al discloses fin segments 21, 22 have a plurality of holes corresponding to the number of loops.

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 11, 20, 22, 26 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Newman (2,029,890). Regarding claim 2, Figure 3 of Newman discloses the tube 24 has a circular cross section. Regarding claims 3 and 5, Newman discloses fins 23 having fin segments spaced apart at regular intervals. Regarding claims 8 and 29-30, Figure 1 of Newman discloses helical conduit 24 (page 1,column 2, lines 21-25). Regarding claim 11 and 26, Figure 3 of Newman discloses fin segments have a plurality of openings 25 corresponding to the number of loops.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al or Newman in view of Ares.

Nelson et al or Newman discloses all the claimed limitations except a blower within the core.

Ares discloses a heat exchanger comprising a core 16a, 16b having regularly spaced apart fin segments 20a, 20b and blower 44 disposed within the core for the purpose of increasing airflow to improve heat exchange.

Since Nelson et al or Newman and Ares are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Ares would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nelson et al or Newman.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,636

Art Unit: 3744

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Nelson et al or Newman a blower disposed within the core for the purpose of increasing airflow to improve heat exchange as recognized by Ares.

Claims 7 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al in view of Dailey.

The device of Nelson et al lacks a blower around the core.

Dailey discloses a heat exchanger comprising a core 62 and blower 50 disposed around the core for the purpose of increasing airflow to improve heat exchange.

Since Nelson et al and Dailey are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Dailey would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nelson et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Nelson et al a blower disposed around the core for the purpose of increasing airflow to improve heat exchange as recognized by Dailey.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

No further comments are deemed necessary at this time.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3744

November 27, 2006